East Ayrshire Local Development Plan 2 Housing Site Appraisal Methodology


Appendix 2 (Ward 2 – Kilmarnock North)

Revision 14/06/2022

Appendix 2 – Ward 2 (Kilmarnock North)*

Ref.	Settlement	Address	Sub HMA	Rank (of 230)	Score % of TOTAL	Recommendation
KK-H1	Kilmarnock	Altonhill	Kilmarnock & Loudoun	106	62	Allocate
KK-X1		Arran Avenue		24	74	Not allocate
KK-H6		Glasgow Road (W)		23	75	Allocate
KK-X3		Land at Grassmillside		194	54	Not allocate
KK-X2		Land at Grassmillside (Alt)		179	56	Not allocate
KK-X4		Land at Meiklewood, Kilmarnock		122	60	Not allocate
KK-X5		Land at Northcraig Reservoir		103	63	Not allocate
KK-X6		Land to Rr. 55 Craufurdland Road		5	81	Not allocate
KK-X7		Meiklewood/Mosside site		158	57	Not allocate
KK-X8		Northcraig Reservoir, Kilmarnock		127	59	Not allocate
KK-X9		Northcraigs		82	65	Not allocate
KK-H12		Northcraigs		51	69	Allocate
KK-X10		Plot A, Rowallan Industrial Estate		15	76	Not allocate
KK-X11		Western Road		3	83	Not allocate

^{*}N.B. Changes have been made to the scoring of several sites to account for inaccuracies identified after this document was published on 23/05/2022. These changes have resulted in slight adjustments to the scoring presented in the table above.



This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on the behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office (c) Crown copyright.

Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. East Ayrshire Council. 100023409.

KK-H1	Kilmarn	ock		Altonhill							
Outcome					Alle	ocate					
Site Ref	KK-H1	Sit	e name	Altonhill			Set	tlement	Kilmarnock		
Ward	2	Ar	ea (ha)	47.0	Indicativ	e Capacit	y 800)	Sub HMA	K&L	
LDP1 Ref	FGA2	PII	Ref		MIR Ref				CfSI Ref	CfSI13	3
					Stage 1						
Proximity t	o settlement	Signi	icant Flood Risk	S	PA/SAC/SSSI		And	cient/Native Wood	dland	Site capa	city
١	⁄es		No		No			No		No	
Stage 2											
Meets spatial strategy	Contribution To Spatial Strategy	Programme in Housing Land Audit	Marketability score	Planning consent for housing	Interest expressed at Call for Sites	ti	gth of me cated	Examination report 2016 comments	Site viability and marketability	value of site	Open space & recreation value
2	2/5	1	5	1	5		5	5	22/30	5	5/5
Flood risk	Biodiversity	Capability fo Agriculture	Land and water contamination	Heritage Assets	Landscape Character & Townscape	ri	mining isk sments	Non-absolute constraints	Distance to primary school	Distance to secondary school	Distance to health centre or GP
5	2	2	5	5	2		1	22/35	2	1	2
Distance to EAC TC/NC (P- LDP)	Distance to bus stop	Distance to train station	Previously developed land	Urban/rural classification	Distance to key town centres		on and tland	Visual amenity	Landscape study	Sustainability of location	TOTAL SCORE
1	5	2	1	5	5		5	2	2	33/60	84/135
					Ranking						
Overall Rank		106/230	Ward Rank		9	/14		Settlement Ranl	<	34	/51
					Stage 3						

The site in question could accommodate more than 1000 dwellings and would result in a significant westward expansion of Kilmarnock. Parts of the site are at a raised elevation and development would be visible from surrounding areas, this interpretation having been confirmed by a landscape impact study commissioned by the Council. The site is somewhat isolated and not within close walking distance of Kilmarnock town centre. Nevertheless, the site presents a number of strengths when compared to other proposed sites in Kilmarnock. Principally, it was listed as a Future Growth Area (FGA2) in the 2017 LDP and was considered an appropriate extension at that time. Indeed, Kilmarnock is somewhat constrained with regards to future growth by the A71 to the south, the A77 to the east and the industrial area at Rowallan to the north; expansion in a westerly or north-westerly direction is consequently considered to be the most appropriate direction of growth. The site also lies adjacent to both the Fardalehill development to the south, its footpath connections and potential services and existing development at older Altonhill development to the south-east. The site lies close to the Kilmarnock-Irvine cycle route and the main road leading to Kilmaurs, both of which either allow or could allow subject to investment for active travel and public transport options, thereby helping to comply with 20 minute neighbourhood principles. When other, less preferable sites to the north of Kilmarnock were taken into consideration alongside housing land requirements, it was considered appropriate to allocate the site in LDP2. NatureScot has advised that development should be pulled back from Woodhill Burn and, amongst various comments, this statement has been included in the site specific requirements in the Volume 2 of the Proposed LDP2.

KK-X1	Kilmarn	ock			Arran A	venue	;								
Outcome							Not	alloca	ate						
Site Ref	KK-X1		Site n	ame	Arran Ave	nue			!	Settlement		Kilmarnoc	:k		
Ward	2		Area ((ha)	0.2		Indicati	ve Capa	acity	10		Sub HMA		K&L	
LDP1 Ref	313H		PIP Re	ef			MIR Re					CfSI Ref			
							Stage 1								
Proximity t	o settlement	Si	ignifica	nt Flood Risk		SP	A/SAC/SSSI		A	Ancient/Na	itive Wood	lland		Site capa	city
Υ	'es			No			No				No			No	
					•		Stage 2								
Meets spatial strategy											Open space & recreation value				
5	5/5	1		5	2		1		1		5	15/30		5	5/5
Flood risk	Biodiversity	Capabilit Agricult		Land and water contamination	Heritage /	Assets	Landscape Character & Townscape		oal mining risk sessment	Non-	absolute straints	Distance t primary school	0	Distance to secondary school	Distance to health centre or GP
5	5	5		5	5		5		1	3:	1/35	2		1	2
Distance to EAC TC/NC (P- LDP)	Distance to bus stop	Distance train sta		Previously developed land	Urban/r classifica		Distance to key town centres		arbon and Deatland	-	isual nenity	Landscap study	e	Sustainability of location	TOTAL SCORE
2	5	5	5		5		5		5	5		44/60	100/135		
		•					Ranking			•				·	
Overall Rank		24/23	30	Ward Rank				5/14		Settle	ment Rank			15,	/51
							Stage 3								

The site in question had been allocated since 2010 and has been progressively built out. The site is also well-located and scored highly against the criteria of the housing site assessment. Nevertheless, the site was not promoted as part of the call for sites exercise and no interest has been expressed in its development for a number of years. The most recent application for a substitution of house types compared to those consented in 2006 was made in 2018 and resulted in a refusal. The remaining portion of unbuilt land (in the northern part of the site) is small. It was therefore considered appropriate to deallocate the site as it would result in only a limited number of units and already has a live consent. The land will remain within the settlement boundary and both the principle of development and the existing consent will remain.

KK-H6	Kilmarn	ock		Glas	sgow Roa	ad (V	V)									
Outcome							Allo	cate								
Site Ref	KK-H6		Site name	Glasg	ow Road (W	/)			S	Settlen	nent	Kilmar	nock			
Ward	2		Area (ha)	1.9			Indicative	Capacit	ty 4	45		Sub HI	MA	K8	kL	
LDP1 Ref			PIP Ref				MIR Ref					CfSI Re	ef			
						Stag	e 1									
Proximity t	o settlement	Si	gnificant Flood Risk		SF	PA/SAC	C/SSSI		А	Ancient	/Native Wood	dland		Site	apaci	ity
Υ	'es		No			No)				No				No	
						Stag	e 2									
Meets spatial strategy	Contribution To Spatial Strategy	Programr in Housi Land Au	ing Marketability	con	anning isent for ousing	expi	nterest ressed at for Sites	ti	gth of ime cated	r	xamination eport 2016 comments	Site via an market	d	Recreation value of s		Open space & recreation value
5	5/5	1	5		1		1		5		5	18/	30	5		5/5
Flood risk	Biodiversity	Capability Agricultu	l Water	Herita	age Assets	Cha	ndscape racter & vnscape	r	mining risk ssments	N	on-absolute constraints	Distan prim scho	ary	Distance seconda school		Distance to health centre or GP
5	5	5	5		5		5		1		31/35	2		1		2
Distance to EAC TC/NC (P- LDP)	Distance to bus stop	Distance train stat	developed		an/rural sification	ke	tance to y town entres		on and atland	I	Visual amenity	Lands stu		Sustainab of location	-	TOTAL SCORE
5	5	1	1		5		5		5		5	5		42/60		101/135
		l.	1			Rank	ring									•
Overall Rank		0 Ward Rank				4/	14		Se	ttlement Ranl	ζ			14/5	51	
			<u>.</u>			Stag	e 3									

An application for 45 affordable housing units was pending consideration at the time of site assessment and was considered likely to proceed to approval by the Development Management service; development would complete after the adoption of the plan. The site constitutes a significant undeveloped, infill or gap site within the Kilmarnock settlement boundary. Whilst the site is known to suffer from various constraints, these are not considered insurmountable and the development of the site for housing is strongly encouraged. It was therefore considered appropriate to allocate the site for residential uses in LDP2.

KK-X2	Kilmarn	ock			Land at Gras	ssmil	Iside (Alt	:)						
Outcome						Futu	ure Hous	sing G	rowth	1				
Site Ref	KK-X2		Site n	ame	Land at Grassmil	lside			Set	ttlement	Kilmarno	ck		
Ward	2		Area ((ha)	31.6		Indicative	Capacity	y 88	0	Sub HMA	V	K&L	
LDP1 Ref			PIP Re	ef	PIP33		MIR Ref				CfSI Ref			
						Stag	ge 1							
Proximity t	o settlement	Si	ignifica	nt Flood Risk	S	PA/SA	C/SSSI		And	cient/Native Wood	lland		Site capa	city
Υ	'es			No		No	ס			No			No	
						Stag	ge 2							
strategy To Spatial Strategy In Housing Land Audit Score Call for Sites allocated comments Recreation Value of site Spatial Strategy Score										Open space & recreation value				
1	1/5	1		5	1		2		5	5	19/30		5	5/5
Flood risk	Biodiversity	Capabilit Agricult		Land and water contamination	Heritage Assets	Cha	ndscape aracter & wnscape	ri	mining isk sments	Non-absolute constraints	Distance primary school	/	Distance to secondary school	Distance to health centre or GP
5	1	2		5	5		1		2	21/35	1		1	2
Distance to EAC TC/NC (P- LDP)	Distance to bus stop	Distance train sta		Previously developed land	Urban/rural classification	ke	etance to bey town dentres		on and tland	Visual amenity	Landscar study	oe	Sustainability of location	TOTAL SCORE
2	2	1	5		5		5	2	2		29/60	75/135		
						Ranl	king							
Overall Rank		179/2	30	Ward Rank			13,	/14		Settlement Rank			46,	/51
						Stag	ge 3							

Development of the large site in question would result in a substantial extension into the open countryside. NatureScot stated in their response to the Main Issues Report consultation that the site contributes to the rural setting of the area and that development would result in significant adverse landscape and visual impacts, eroding the rural setting and potentially leading to the coalescence of Kilmarnock and Kilmaurs. The site is very prominent in the landscape, rising to peak at existing farm buildings in the centre of the site at Grassmillside. Parts of the site would be a significant distance from services and would be closer to Kilmaurs town centre than they would be to some key facilities in Kilmarnock, particularly with regard to schools and shopping facilities. It is therefore not considered appropriate to allocate the site in LDP2. Nevertheless, it is considered that the eastern and south-eastern portion of the site that lies adjacent to the settlement boundary and east to south-east of the farm a Grassmillside may constitute an appropriate extension of the settlement boundary at a future date. The proposed arrangement would avoid coalescence with Kilmaurs and would in part constitute an area that was considered appropriate for development as part of a landscape impact study undertaken for the Council. Consequently, and subject to the completion of other allocated sites in Kilmarnock, the site will be identified as a Future Housing Growth site and considered for allocation as part of the preparation of LDP3.

KK-X3	Kilmarn	ock		Land at Gras	ssmills	side							
Outcome						Not al	locate	9					
Site Ref	KK-X3		Site name	Land at Grassmil	llside			Se	ttlement	Kilmarnock			
Ward	2		Area (ha)	61.9		Indicative	Capacit	y 17	725	Sub HMA	K	&L	
LDP1 Ref			PIP Ref	PIP33		MIR Ref				CfSI Ref			
					Stage	e 1							
Proximity to	o settlement	Sig	nificant Flood Risk	S	PA/SAC,	/SSSI		An	cient/Native Wood	dland	Site	capacity	
Υ	'es		No		No				No			No	
					Stage	e 2					_	_	
Meets spatial strategy	Contribution To Spatial Strategy	Programn in Housin Land Aud	ng Marketability	Planning consent for housing	expre	terest essed at for Sites	ti	gth of me cated	Examination report 2016 comments	Site viability and marketability	value of s	& recrea	ation
1	1/5	1	5	1		2		5	5	19/30	5	5/5	;
Flood risk	Biodiversity	Capability Agricultu	water	Heritage Assets	Char	dscape racter & rnscape	r	mining isk sments	Non-absolute constraints	Distance to primary school	Distance seconda school		th
5	1	2	5	5		1		2	21/35	1	1	2	
Distance to EAC TC/NC (P- LDP)	Distance to bus stop	Distance train stati	developed	Urban/rural classification	key	ance to / town entres		on and tland	Visual amenity	Landscape study	Sustainab of location		
2	2	1	1	5		5		5	1	1	27/60	73/13	 35
		L	•		Ranki	ing			•			·	
Overall Rank		194/23			14,	/14		Settlement Rank	(47/51		
					Stage	e 3				_	_	_	

Development of the large site in question would result in a substantial extension into the open countryside. NatureScot stated in their response to the Main Issues Report consultation that the site contributes to the rural setting of the area and that development would result in significant adverse landscape and visual impacts, eroding the rural setting and potentially leading to the coalescence of Kilmarnock and Kilmaurs. The site is very prominent in the landscape, rising to peak at existing farm buildings in the centre of the site at Grassmillside. Parts of the site would be a significant distance from services and would be closer to Kilmaurs town centre than they would be to some key facilities in Kilmarnock, particularly with regard to schools and shopping facilities. It was therefore not considered appropriate to allocate the site as submitted in LDP2.

KK-X4	Kilmarn	ock		Land at Meik	lewood, Kiln	narnoc	k				
Outcome					Not a	llocate	9				
Site Ref	KK-X4		Site name	Land at Meiklew	ood, Kilmarnock		Set	tlement	Kilmarnock		
Ward	2		Area (ha)	26.6	Indicative	Capacit	y 741	1	Sub HMA	K&L	
LDP1 Ref	152B		PIP Ref		MIR Ref				CfSI Ref	CfSI34	
					Stage 1						
Proximity t	o settlement	Si	gnificant Flood Risk	SI	PA/SAC/SSSI		And	cient/Native Wood	dland	Site capa	city
١	⁄es		No		No			No		No	
Stage 2											
Meets spatial strategy	Contribution To Spatial Strategy	Programr in Housi Land Au	ing Warketability	Planning consent for housing	Interest expressed at Call for Sites	ti	gth of me cated	Examination report 2016 comments	Site viability and marketability	Recreation value of site	Open space & recreation value
1	1/5	1	5	1	5		5	5	22/30	5	5/5
Flood risk	Biodiversity	Capability Agricult	l water	Heritage Assets	Landscape Character & Townscape	r	mining isk sments	Non-absolute constraints	Distance to primary school	Distance to secondary school	Distance to health centre or GP
5	2	2	5	5	1		2	22/35	2	1	1
Distance to EAC TC/NC (P- LDP)	Distance to bus stop	Distance train stat	developed	Urban/rural classification	Distance to key town centres		on and tland	Visual amenity	Landscape study	Sustainability of location	TOTAL SCORE
2	5	1	1	5	5		5	2	1	31/60	81/135
					Ranking						
Overall Rank		122/23	Ward Rank		10)/14		Settlement Rank	<	40,	/51
			-1		Stage 3			•			

The site in question is located north of the Meiklewood junction with the M77. Development of the site for residential uses was considered inappropriate for a number of reasons. The site is isolated from other residential areas in Kilmarnock and lies north of the existing Rowallan industrial estate. Walking distances to services and facilities would be longer than other sites submitted through the call for sites exercise, particularly for any homes that might be located in the north-easternmost extremity of the site. It is likely that either car or bus travel would either be necessary for most travel or be of significant temptation given journey times on foot. Whilst some neighbourhood services are located at Glasgow Road, the town centre and the wide range of services therein lies far from the site; other sites proposed as part of the call for sites lie closer, thereby meeting 20 minute neighbourhood principles to a greater degree. The site lies close to the Laigh Fenwick settlement boundary and concerns about coalescence with that village were expressed with regard to development in the area. The area within which the site is located was classed in a landscape impact study prepared for the Council as either an area not suitable for development, that may be required to preserve the setting of settlements and prevent coalescence, or an area within which new or existing landscape/planting should take place to accompany development as buffer zones and or screening. It was therefore considered that the site should not be allocated for residential uses in LDP2.

KK-X5	Kilmarn	ock		Land at Nort	hcraig Reser	voir					
Outcome					Not a	llocate	9				
Site Ref	KK-X5		Site name	Land at Northcra	ig Reservoir		Set	tlement	Kilmarnock		
Ward	2		Area (ha)	7.8	Indicative	Capacit	y 217	7	Sub HMA	K&L	
LDP1 Ref	153B		PIP Ref	PIP32	MIR Ref		153	3MIR	CfSI Ref	CfSI10	
					Stage 1						
Proximity t	o settlement	Si	gnificant Flood Risk	SI	PA/SAC/SSSI		And	cient/Native Wood	dland	Site capa	city
Υ	'es		No		No			No		No	
					Stage 2						
Meets spatial strategy	Contribution To Spatial Strategy	Programr in Housi Land Au	ing Warketability	Planning consent for housing	Interest expressed at Call for Sites	ti	gth of me cated	Examination report 2016 comments	Site viability and marketability	Recreation value of site	Open space & recreation value
1	1/5	1	5	1	5		5	5	22/30	5	5/5
Flood risk	Biodiversity	Capability Agricultu	l water	Heritage Assets	Landscape Character & Townscape	r	mining isk sments	Non-absolute constraints	Distance to primary school	Distance to secondary school	Distance to health centre or GP
5	2	2	5	5	5		2	26/35	1	1	1
Distance to EAC TC/NC (P- LDP)	Distance to bus stop	Distance train stat	developed	Urban/rural classification	Distance to key town centres		on and tland	Visual amenity	Landscape study	Sustainability of location	TOTAL SCORE
2	2	1	1	5	5		5	2	5	31/60	85/135
					Ranking						
Overall Rank		103/23	Ward Rank		8,	/14		Settlement Ranl	<	32,	/51
			-		Stage 3						

The site in question is located north of the Meiklewood junction with the M77. Development of the site for residential uses was considered inappropriate for a number of reasons. The site is isolated from other residential areas in Kilmarnock and lies north of the existing Rowallan industrial estate. Walking distances to services and facilities would be longer than other sites submitted through the call for sites exercise, particularly for any homes that might be located in the north-easternmost extremity of the site. It is likely that either car or bus travel would either be necessary for most travel or be of significant temptation given journey times on foot. Whilst some neighbourhood services are located at Glasgow Road, the town centre and the wide range of services therein lies far from the site; other sites proposed as part of the call for sites lie closer, thereby meeting 20 minute neighbourhood principles to a greater degree. The area within which the site is located was classed in a landscape impact study prepared for the Council as an area not suitable for development that may be required to preserve the setting of settlements and prevent coalescence. Given these constraints and the presence of preferable sites in Kilmarnock, it was therefore considered that the site should not be allocated for residential uses in LDP2.

KK-X6	Kilmarn	ock		Land To Rea	r 55 Craufur	dland F	Road				
Outcome					Not al	locate)				
Site Ref	KK-X6	:	Site name	Land To Rear 55	Craufurdland Roa	ad	Set	tlement	Kilmarnock		
Ward	2		Area (ha)	0.2	Indicative	Capacity	/ 6		Sub HMA	K&L	
LDP1 Ref			PIP Ref		MIR Ref				CfSI Ref		
					Stage 1						
Proximity to	o settlement	Sig	nificant Flood Risk	SF	PA/SAC/SSSI		And	cient/Native Wood	dland	Site capa	city
Y	es		No		No			No		No	
					Stage 2						
Meets spatial strategy	Contribution To Spatial Strategy	Programm in Housir Land Aud	ng Marketability	Planning consent for housing	Interest expressed at Call for Sites	tin		Examination report 2016 comments	Site viability and marketability	Recreation value of site	Open space & recreation value
5	5/5	1	of score Value of site								5/5
Flood risk	Biodiversity	Capability Agricultu	l water	Heritage Assets	Landscape Character & Townscape	ris	mining sk sments	Non-absolute constraints	Distance to primary school	Distance to secondary school	Distance to health centre or GP
5	5	5	5	5	5	2	2	32/35	2	1	2
Distance to EAC TC/NC (P- LDP)	Distance to bus stop	Distance train stati	l developed	Urban/rural classification	Distance to key town centres		on and tland	Visual amenity	Landscape study	Sustainability of location	TOTAL SCORE
5	5	1	5	5	5		5	5	5	46/60	110/135
					Ranking	•					•
Overall Rank		5/230	Ward Rank			′14		Settlement Rank	<	4/	51
					Stage 3						

Consent for the development of the site was granted prior to the start of the site assessment process and it was considered necessary to discuss the potential to create an allocation on that basis. However, it was agreed after discussion within the planning team not to allocate the site due to its small scale, location within the settlement boundary and some lack of clarity over the effectiveness of the site. This recommendation does not affect the aforementioned consent, which remains live until such time as it is expires.

KK-X7	Kilmarn	ock		Meiklewood/	Mosside site						
Outcome					Not a	llocate	9				
Site Ref	KK-X7		Site name	Meiklewood/Mo	sside site		Set	tlement	Kilmarnock		
Ward	2		Area (ha)	60.0	Indicative	Capacit	y 167	72	Sub HMA	K&L	
LDP1 Ref	152B		PIP Ref	PIP31	MIR Ref				CfSI Ref		
					Stage 1						
Proximity t	o settlement	Si	gnificant Flood Risk	S	PA/SAC/SSSI		And	cient/Native Wood	dland	Site capa	city
Υ	'es		No		No			Yes		No	
Stage 2											
Meets spatial strategy	Contribution To Spatial Strategy	Programr in Housi Land Au	ing Wiarketability	Planning consent for housing	Interest expressed at Call for Sites	ti	gth of me cated	Examination report 2016 comments	Site viability and marketability	Recreation value of site	Open space & recreation value
1	1/5	1	5	1	5		5	5	22/30	5	5/5
Flood risk	Biodiversity	Capability Agricult	l water	Heritage Assets	Landscape Character & Townscape	r	mining isk sments	Non-absolute constraints	Distance to primary school	Distance to secondary school	Distance to health centre or GP
5	1	2	5	2	1		2	18/35	1	1	1
Distance to EAC TC/NC (P- LDP)	Distance to bus stop	Distance train stat	developed	Urban/rural classification	Distance to key town centres		on and tland	Visual amenity	Landscape study	Sustainability of location	TOTAL SCORE
2	2	1	5	5	5		5	2	1	31/60	77/135
			<u> </u>		Ranking						
Overall Rank		158/23	Ward Rank		12	2/14		Settlement Ranl	<	44,	/51
			_		Stage 3						

The site in question is located north-west of the Meiklewood junction with the M77. Development of the site for residential uses was considered inappropriate for a number of reasons. The site is somewhat isolated from other residential areas in Kilmarnock and lies north of the existing Rowallan industrial estate. Walking distances to services and facilities would be longer than other sites submitted through the call for sites exercise, particularly for any homes that might be located in the north-easternmost extremity of the site. It is likely that either car or bus travel would either be necessary for most travel or be of significant temptation given journey times on foot. Whilst some neighbourhood services are located at Glasgow Road, the town centre and the wide range of services therein lies far from the site; other sites proposed as part of the call for sites lie closer, thereby meeting 20 minute neighbourhood principles to a greater degree. The site lies close to the Laigh Fenwick settlement boundary and concerns about coalescence with that village were expressed with regard to development in the area. The area within which the site is located was classed in a landscape impact study prepared for the Council as an area not suitable for development that may be required to preserve the setting of settlements and prevent coalescence. In a consultation response, SNH (NatureScot) stated that they considered that it would be challenging to deliver sustainable development in the location in question, as it would encourage the use of private cars. They also stated that development at the site in question would be likely to set an unfortunate precedent for further development, undermining the settlement boundary and incrementally eroding the rural setting which ultimately could result in the coalescence of Kilmarnock and Fenwick. Given these constraints and the presence of preferable sites in Kilmarnock, it was consequently considered that the site should not be allocated for residential uses in LDP2.

KK-X8	Kilmarn	ock			Northcraig R	eser	voir							
Outcome							Not al	locate	9					
Site Ref	KK-X8		Site n	ame	Northcraig Reser	voir			Se	ttlement	Kilmarno	ck		
Ward	2		Area ((ha)	10.3		Indicative	Capacit	y 28	35	Sub HMA	١	K&L	
LDP1 Ref			PIP Re	ef	PIP27		MIR Ref		29	9MIR	CfSI Ref		CfSI31	
						Stag	e 1							
Proximity t	o settlement	S	ignifica	nt Flood Risk	SF	PA/SAC	C/SSSI		An	cient/Native Wood	dland		Site capa	city
Υ	'es			No		No)			No			No	
						Stag	e 2							
strategy To Spatial Strategy Land Audit in Housing Land Audit score consent for housing Call for Sites allocated comments marketability score walk										Open space & recreation value				
1	1/5	1		5	1		5		5	5	22/30)	5	5/5
Flood risk	Biodiversity	Capabilit Agricult	•	Land and water contamination	Heritage Assets	Cha	ndscape racter & wnscape	ri	mining isk sments	Non-absolute constraints	Distance primar school	y	Distance to secondary school	Distance to health centre or GP
5	1	5		1	5		2		1	20/35	1		1	1
Distance to EAC TC/NC (P- LDP)	Distance to bus stop	Distanc train sta		Previously developed land	Urban/rural classification	ke	tance to ey town entres		on and tland	Visual amenity	Landsca study	pe	Sustainability of location	TOTAL SCORE
2	2	5	5		5		5	2	2		32/60	80/135		
						Rank	king							
Overall Rank		127/2	30	Ward Rank			11,	/14		Settlement Rank	ξ		41,	/51
						Stag	e 3				_			

The site in question is located to the north-east of the existing Northcraigs allocation and was previously in use as a reservoir. Whilst the site lies adjacent to the Northcraigs development and pedestrian and potentially road access would be possible, it was considered that the site is unsuitable for residential allocation for a number of reasons. The site is more isolated from the rest of Kilmarnock than a number of other proposed sites and walking distances to services and facilities are longer and more convoluted. The land has naturalised to a significant degree since the reservoir ceased to be in operation and NatureScot has supported suggestions that it should be designated as a Local Nature Reserve (LNR). The site is also subject to a degree of surface water flooding. It is anticipated that the adjacent Northcraigs development KK-H12 will be built out beyond the end of the LDP2 plan period. Development of that site in the first instance is considered more prudent than the addition of another site immediately adjacent during the same time span. Given these stated constraints and timescales and that it is considered that other sites that have been submitted in the north and west of Kilmarnock would be more sustainably located, within closer walking distance of services within the town centre, it was not considered that the site should be allocated in LDP2.

KK-H12	Kilmarn	ock			Northcr	aigs									
Outcome							А	Alloc	ate						
Site Ref	KK-H12		Site na	ame	Northcrai	gs				Set	ttlement	Kilmarno	ck		
Ward	2		Area (ha)	37.1		Indica	ative C	apacity	48	5	Sub HMA	V	K&L	
LDP1 Ref	319H		PIP Re	ef			MIR R	Ref				CfSI Ref			
							Stage 1								
Proximity t	o settlement	Si	ignifica	nt Flood Risk		SP	A/SAC/SSSI			And	cient/Native Wood	dland		Site capa	city
Y	'es			No			No				No			No	
							Stage 2								
Meets spatial strategy	Contribution To Spatial Strategy	Program in Hous Land Au	sing	Marketability score	Planni consent housi	t for	Interest expressed Call for Site	at	Lengt tim alloca	ne	Examination report 2016 comments	Site viabi and marketab	•	Recreation value of site	Open space & recreation value
2	2/5	5		5	5		5		1	L	5	26/30		5	5/5
Flood risk	Biodiversity	Capabilit Agricult		Land and water contamination	Heritage /	Assets	Landscape Character Townscap	&	Coal m ris assessr	sk	Non-absolute constraints	Distance primary school	/	Distance to secondary school	Distance to health centre or GP
5	2	2		5	5		5		1	L	25/35	2		1	1
Distance to EAC TC/NC (P- LDP)	Distance to bus stop	Distance train sta		Previously developed land	Urban/r classifica		Distance t key town centres	n	Carbo peatl		Visual amenity	Landsca _l study	oe	Sustainability of location	TOTAL SCORE
2	5	1		1	5		5		5	-)	2	5		35/60	93/135
							Ranking								•
Overall Rank		51/23	30	Ward Rank				6/14	4		Settlement Ranl	<		21	/51
							Stage 3								

Development was underway at the time of site assessment and is anticipated to continue throughout the LDP2 period and during the years to 2036 according to the 2020 Housing Land Audit. It has been therefore demonstrated that the site is effective and, as a consequence, it was considered appropriate to allocate the site in LDP2.

KK-X9	Kilmarn	ock		Northcraigs											
Outcome				Not allocate											
Site Ref	KK-X	Northcraigs Se					ttlement	Kilmarnock							
Ward	2	11.2 Indicative Capacity 3					2	Sub HMA	K&L						
LDP1 Ref	153B PIP Ref			MIR Ref						CfSI Ref	CfSI12				
Stage 1															
Proximity t	Proximity to settlement Significant Flood Risk				SPA/SAC/SSSI					dland	d Site capacity				
١	⁄es		No	No					No		No				
Stage 2															
Meets spatial strategy	Contribution To Spatial Strategy	Programi in Housi Land Au	ing	Planning consent for housing	exp	nterest oressed at I for Sites	Length of time allocated		Examination report 2016 comments	Site viability and marketability	Recreation value of site	Open space & recreation value			
2	2/5	1	5	1		5	5		5	22/30	5	5/5			
Flood risk	Biodiversity	Capability Agriculti	' l water	Heritage Asset	s Ch	ndscape aracter & wnscape	r	mining isk sments	Non-absolute constraints	Distance to primary school	Distance to secondary school	Distance to health centre or GP			
5	2	2	5	5		5	1		25/35	1	1	1			
Distance to EAC TC/NC (P- LDP)	Distance to bus stop	Distance train stat	developed	Urban/rural classification	k	key town		rbon and Visual eatland amenity		Landscape study	Sustainability of location	TOTAL SCORE			
2	5	1	1	5		5	5		2	5	34/60	88/135			
					Ran	king	•								
Overall Rank 82/230 Ward Rank			7/14 Settler					Settlement Ranl	Settlement Rank 28/51						
Stage 3															

A submission for allocation of the land for a mix of uses including a neighbourhood centre and residential development has been made as part of the call for sites exercise. The site lies almost entirely within land allocated for business and industrial purposes in LDP1 (153B). It was considered that the site should continue to be allocated for such purposes during the LDP2 period to enable further business and industrial development, particularly given the removal as an allocated site and from the settlement boundary of the LDP1 business/industrialallocated Meiklewood/Mosside site 152B that lay north-east of Proposed LDP2 site KK-B6(O). Nevertheless, a site within which miscellaneous footfall generating uses will be considered acceptable has been allocated within the southernmost portion of site in the Proposed LDP2, thereby meeting the request for the inclusion of a neighbourhood centre. Whilst not designated as a Future Housing Growth area, demand for the business/industrial use of the site will be assessed as part of the preparation of LDP3. A reconsideration of its suitability for residential development will be made should demand for commercial uses not be demonstrated.

KK-X10	Kilmarn	ock		Plot A, Rowallan Industrial Estate											
Outcome		Not allocate													
Site Ref	KK-X10	Plo	Plot A, Rowallan Industrial Estate Se					Sett	lement	Kilmarnoc	Kilmarnock				
Ward	2 Area (ha)			0.9 Indicative Capacity 2					25 Sub H			ub HMA K&L			
LDP1 Ref	153B	153B PIP Ref			MIR Ref					CfSI Ref			CfSI16		
Stage 1															
Proximity t	gnificant Flood Risk	SPA/SAC/SSSI					Ancient/Native Woodland				nd Site capacity				
Υ	'es		No	No						No			No		
Stage 2															
Meets spatial strategy	Contribution To Spatial Strategy	Programr in Housi Land Au	ing Marketability	С	Planning consent for housing	exp	nterest ressed at for Sites	Length of time allocated			Examination report 2016 comments	Site viability and marketability		Recreation value of site	Open space & recreation value
2	2/5	1	5		1		5	5			5	22/30		5	5/5
Flood risk	Biodiversity	Capability Agricult	. I water		ritage Assets	Cha	ndscape iracter & wnscape	Coal mining risk assessments			Non-absolute constraints	Distance to primary school	0	Distance to secondary school	Distance to health centre or GP
5	5	5	5		5		5	2		32/35		2		1	1
Distance to EAC TC/NC (P- LDP)	Distance to bus stop	Distance train stat	l developed		Jrban/rural lassification	ke	tance to ey town entres	Carbon and peatland				Landscape study	ġ	Sustainability of location	TOTAL SCORE
2	5	1	5		5		5	5			5	5		42/60	103/135
		l.				Rank	king							•	·
Overall Rank		15/23	0 Ward Rank			3/14				Settlement Rank			8/51		
Stage 3															

A submission for allocation of the site in question for a mix of uses including residential development was made as part of the call for sites exercise. The site lies entirely within land allocated for business and industrial purposes in the Proposed LDP2 (KK-B6(S)) and the site was allocated for identical purposes in LDP1. Whilst close to transport links and other residential development, the site is prominent within the existing business park and acts as the gateway site to the development. Retention of the site is therefore considered necessary so as to maintain the integrity of the business park and prevent the erosion of available business and industrial land in the settlement. It was therefore considered prudent not to allocate the site for residential uses in LDP2.

KK-X11	Kilmarn	ock			Western Road											
Outcome						No	Not allocate									
Site Ref	KK-X11		Site na	ame	Western Road Se					ttlement Kilmarnock						
Ward	2	2 Area (ha)				4.4 Indicative Capacity 1					Sub HMA		K&L			
LDP1 Ref	413H	PIP		Ref		MIR Ref				CfS		CfSI Ref				
Stage 1																
Proximity t	Significant Flood Risk			SPA/SAC/SSSI					cient/Native Woo	dland		Site capacity				
Υ	'es	No			No					No			No			
Stage 2																
Meets spatial strategy	Contribution To Spatial Strategy	Programr in Housi Land Au	ing	Marketability score	Planning consent for housing	Interes:	pressed at		th of ne ated	Examination report 2016 comments	Site viability and marketability		Recreation value of site	Open space & recreation value		
5	5/5	5		5	5	1		2		5	23/30		5	5/5		
Flood risk	Biodiversity	Capability Agricultu		Land and water contamination	Heritage Assets	Landscar Character Townsca	er & risk		sk	Non-absolute constraints	Distance to primary school		Distance to secondary school	Distance to health centre or GP		
5	5	5		5	5	5		1		31/35	2		2	2		
Distance to EAC TC/NC (P- LDP)	Distance to bus stop Distance to train station Previously developed land Urban/rural classification		Urban/rural classification	Distance key tow centres	/n	I Carbon and		Visual amenity	Landscape study		Sustainability of location	TOTAL SCORE				
2	5	5		5	5	5		5		5	5 5		48/60	112/135		
	Ranking															
Overall Rank		3/230	ס	Ward Rank		1/14			Settlement Rank			2/51				
	Stage 3															
Develonment of	Development of LDP1-allocated site for residential uses had completed prior to the start of the site assessment process and the site is as of spring 2022 entirely built out. It was therefore												was therefore			

Development of LDP1-allocated site for residential uses had completed prior to the start of the site assessment process and the site is as of spring 2022 entirely built out. It was therefore considered unnecessary to allocate the site in LDP2.